

**FINAL  
I-10 MASTER PLAN**

**District 2 Interstate Master Plan Study**

**Florida Intrastate Highway System**

**I-10: Jefferson/Madison County Line to I-75 (Columbia County)**

**Segment 1**

**Prepared for:**

Florida Department of Transportation – District 2

**Prepared by:**

Post, Buckley, Schuh & Jernigan, Inc.  
In Association with  
Reynolds, Smith and Hills, Inc.

April 2006

# Table of Contents

## I-10 MASTER PLAN

Section	Title	Page
<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1	Purpose of Master Plan.....	1-1
1.2	Project Study Area.....	1-2
1.3	Tier Selection Process.....	1-2
1.4	Report Organization.....	1-4
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Existing Conditions.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	General Description.....	2-1
2.1.1	Functional and Operational Classification.....	2-1
2.1.2	Affected Jurisdictions.....	2-1
2.1.3	Primary Features of the I-10 Corridor.....	2-2
2.1.4	Surrounding Transportation Network.....	2-2
2.2	Existing Physical Features.....	2-2
2.2.1	Typical Section.....	2-3
2.2.2	Design Speed.....	2-3
2.2.3	Horizontal Alignment.....	2-3
2.2.4	Vertical Alignment.....	2-4
2.2.5	Right-of-Way.....	2-4
2.2.6	Interchange and Ramp Design.....	2-6
2.2.7	Utilities.....	2-7
2.2.8	Drainage.....	2-7
2.2.9	Traffic Control.....	2-10
2.2.10	Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS).....	2-10
2.2.11	Structures.....	2-10
2.2.12	Traffic Volumes and Roadway Capacity Analysis.....	2-11
2.2.13	Roadway Operating Conditions.....	2-13
2.3	Existing Environmental Characteristics.....	2-15
2.3.1	Cultural and Land Use Features.....	2-15
2.3.2	Wetlands.....	2-24
2.3.3	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	2-27
2.3.4	Soils.....	2-35
2.3.5	Floodplains and Drainage.....	2-35
2.3.6	Air Quality.....	2-40
2.3.7	Potential Contamination Sites.....	2-40

## Table of Contents (continued)

### I-10 MASTER PLAN

Section	Title	Page
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Basis for the Corridor Master Plan.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Functional Performance .....	3-1
3.1.1	Level of Service .....	3-1
3.1.2	Geometric Design Elements .....	3-1
3.2	Need for Improvements .....	3-1
3.2.1	Design Deficiencies .....	3-2
3.2.2	Mobility Deficiencies.....	3-4
3.2.3	Safety Deficiencies .....	3-8
3.2.4	Environmental Deficiencies.....	3-8
3.2.5	Hurricane Evacuation.....	3-8
3.3	Corridor Improvement Goals and Alternatives Evaluation Criteria.....	3-10
3.3.1	Improvement Goals.....	3-10
3.3.2	Measures of Effectiveness and Evaluation Criteria .....	3-12
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Tier 1 Analysis.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Background.....	4-1
4.2	Purpose.....	4-1
4.3	Tier 1 Alternatives .....	4-1
4.3.1	CMEA No. 1: General Purpose Lanes.....	4-2
4.3.2	CMEA No. 2: General Purpose Lanes + 2 Auxiliary Lanes (Between CR 255 and US 90 and between US 129 and CR 137) .....	4-2
4.4	Tier 1 Evaluation.....	4-5
4.4.1	CMEA No. 1: General Purpose Lanes.....	4-5
4.4.2	CMEA No. 2: General Purpose Lanes + 2 Auxiliary Lanes (Between CR 255 and US 90 and between US 129 and CR 137) .....	4-5
4.5	Tier 1 Matrix Summary .....	4-8
4.5.1	Mobility.....	4-8
4.5.2	Commerce .....	4-9
4.5.3	Land Use .....	4-9
4.5.4	Environment.....	4-9
4.5.5	Affordability / Constructability.....	4-10
4.6	Recommendations for Tier 2 CMEA Development .....	4-12

## Table of Contents (continued)

### I-10 MASTER PLAN

Section	Title	Page
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Tier 2 Analysis.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	Background.....	5-1
5.2	Interchange Alternatives.....	5-1
5.2.1	US 221.....	5-1
5.2.2	SR 14.....	5-1
5.2.3	SR 53.....	5-4
5.2.4	CR 255.....	5-4
5.2.5	US 90 (Suwannee County).....	5-4
5.2.6	US 129 (SR 51).....	5-4
5.2.7	CR 137.....	5-9
5.3	Interchange Evaluation.....	5-12
5.3.1	Mobility.....	5-14
5.3.2	Regional Commerce.....	5-17
5.3.3	Land Use.....	5-17
5.3.4	Environmental.....	5-18
5.3.5	Affordability / Constructability.....	5-19
5.4	Tier 2 Evaluation.....	5-20
5.4.1	US 221 (SR 55).....	5-20
5.4.2	SR 14.....	5-21
5.4.3	SR 53.....	5-21
5.4.4	CR 255.....	5-21
5.4.5	US 90 (Suwannee County).....	5-29
5.4.6	US 129 (SR 51).....	5-29
5.4.7	CR 137.....	5-30
5.5	Recommendations For Tier 2 Interchanges.....	5-38
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Public Involvement.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	Public Involvement Opportunities.....	6-1
6.1.1	Internet Access.....	6-1
6.1.2	Public Meetings.....	6-1
6.1.3	Daily Interaction.....	6-2
6.2	Public Involvement Results.....	6-2

## Table of Contents (continued)

### I-10 MASTER PLAN

Section	Title	Page
<b>7.0</b>	<b>Preferred Mobility Enhancement Alternative .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	Mainline Preferred Mobility Enhancement Alternative .....	7-1
7.2	Interchange Preferred Mobiliy Enhancement Alternatives.....	7-1
7.2.1	US 221 (SR 55).....	7-1
7.2.2	SR 14.....	7-1
7.2.3	SR 53.....	7-1
7.2.4	CR 255 .....	7-2
7.2.5	US 90 (Suwannee County).....	7-2
7.2.6	US 129 (SR 51).....	7-2
7.2.7	CR 137 .....	7-2
7.3	Other Elements.....	7-2
7.3.1	ITS.....	7-2
7.3.2	Hurricane Evacuation.....	7-3
<b>8.0</b>	<b>Implementation Plan .....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.1	Preferred Mobility Enhancement Alternative.....	8-1
8.2	Implementation Plan .....	8-1
8.2.1	Phase and Improvement Program Requirements.....	8-1
8.2.2	Agency and Public Coordination .....	8-6
8.2.3	Potential Sources of Funding .....	8-6
8.3	Conclusions and Next Steps.....	8-7

## List of Appendices

### I-10 MASTER PLAN

Appendix	Title	Page
<b>A</b>	<b>Existing Roadway Geometric and Cross Section Data.....</b>	<b>A-1</b>
	Existing Horizontal Geometry .....	A-2
	Existing Vertical Geometry .....	A-8
	I-10 Bridges .....	A-17
	Bridges Over I-10 .....	A-20
	Existing Conditions.....	A-22

## List of Tables

### I-10 MASTER PLAN

<b>Table</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
2-1	Functional Classification .....	2-1
2-2	Affected Jurisdictions .....	2-1
2-3	Functional Classification .....	2-2
2-4	Current FDOT Design Criteria .....	2-3
2-5	Limited Access Right-of-Way at Interchanges.....	2-5
2-6	Interchange Types.....	2-6
2-7	Existing Lane Lengths on Interchange Ramps .....	2-7
2-8	Summary of Cross Drains.....	2-8
2-9	2001 Traffic Counts .....	2-12
2-10	LOS Standards .....	2-13
2-11	Historical Sites Within or Adjacent to the Study Corridor .....	2-18
2-12	Archaeological Sites Within or Adjacent to the Study Corridor .....	2-18
2-13	Educational and Medical Facilities Within or Adjacent to the Study Corridor.....	2-18
2-14	Enterprise Zones Within or Adjacent to the Study Corridor .....	2-18
2-15	Parks, Forests, Trails, Boat Ramps Within or Adjacent to the Study Corridor .....	2-19
2-16	Religious Institutions Within or Adjacent to the Study Corridor .....	2-19
2-17	Cemeteries Within or Adjacent to the Study Corridor.....	2-20
2-18	Federal and State Protected, Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species .....	2-27
2-19	Potential Contamination Sites.....	2-40
3-1	2001 Existing Conditions LOS .....	3-1
3-2	2030 No-Build LOS.....	3-6
3-3	Policy Elements and Improvement Goals.....	3-10
3-4	Tier Evaluation Goals and Objectives Matrix .....	3-11
3-5	Tier 1 Corridor Evaluation Objectives and Measures of Effectiveness.....	3-13
4-1	CMEA No. 1 Evaluation Summary .....	4-6
4-2	CMEA No. 2 Evaluation Summary .....	4-7
4-3	Construction Cost Estimate – CR 255 to US 90.....	4-11
4-4	Construction Cost Estimate – US 129 to CR 137.....	4-11

## List of Tables (continued)

### I-10 MASTER PLAN

<b>Table</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
5-1	Tier 2 - Corridor Evaluation Objectives and Measures of Effectiveness .....	5-13
5-2	US 221 (SR 55) – Level 1 Concept, Tier 2 Matrix.....	5-22
5-3	SR 14 – Level 1 Concept, Tier 2 Matrix.....	5-24
5-4	SR 53 – Level 1 Concept, Tier 2 Matrix.....	5-26
5-5	CR 255 – Level 1 Concept, Tier 2 Matrix .....	5-28
5-6	US 90 (Suwannee County) – Level 1 Concept, Tier 2 Matrix.....	5-30
5-7	US 129 (SR 51) – Level 1 Concept, Tier 2 Matrix.....	5-32
5-8	CR 137 – Level 1 Concept, Tier 2 Matrix .....	5-34
5-9	CR 137 – Level 2 Concept, Tier 2 Matrix .....	5-36
5-10	Tier 2 Summary Matrix .....	5-39
8-1	Summary of Implementation Costs By Phase - Total Corridor.....	8-3
8-2	Summary for Implementation Costs By Phase - Segment 1.....	8-4

# List of Figures

## I-10 MASTER PLAN

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
1-1	Study Corridor .....	1-3
2-1	2001 Existing Traffic LOS.....	2-14
2-2	Community Facilities, Parks, and Recreation Sites .....	2-16
2-3	Land Use .....	2-21
2-4	Hydrologic Feature .....	2-25
2-5	Strategic Habitats and Conservation Lands .....	2-31
2-6	Biodiversity Hot Spots.....	2-33
2-7	Hydric Soils .....	2-36
2-8	Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Zones.....	2-38
2-9	Potential Contamination Sites.....	2-41
3-1	2030 Design Traffic LOS.....	3-7
4-1	CMEA Typical Section 1 .....	4-3
4-2	CMEA Typical Section 2.....	4-4
5-1	US 221 (SR 55) Level 1 Concept .....	5-2
5-2	SR 14 Level 1 Concept .....	5-3
5-3	SR 53 Level 1 Concept .....	5-5
5-4	CR 255 Level 1 Concept.....	5-6
5-5	US 90 (Suwannee County) Level 1 Concept .....	5-7
5-6	US 129 (SR 51) Level 1 Concept .....	5-8
5-7	CR 137 Level 1 Concept.....	5-10
5-8	CR 137 Level 2 Concept.....	5-11
8-1	Summary of Implementation Costs and By Phase - Total Corridor .....	8-5
8-2	Summary of Implementation Costs and By Phase - Segment 1 .....	8-5

## List of Acronyms

### I-10 MASTER PLAN

AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CMEA	Conceptual Mobility Enhancement Alternative
DASR	Data Analysis Summary Report
DCA	Department of Community Affairs
FAQ	Frequently Asked Questions
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
FDLE	Florida Department of Law Enforcement
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
FFWCC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
FGDL	Florida Geographic Data Library
FHP	Florida Highway Patrol
FIHS	Florida Intrastate Highway System
FNAI	Florida Natural Areas Inventory
GPL	General Purpose Lane
HOV	High Occupancy Vehicle
ITS	Intelligent Transportation System
LA	Limited Access
LOS	Level of Service
MOE	Measures of Effectiveness
OFW	Outstanding Florida Waters
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
PD&E	Project Development and Environment
PMEA	Preferred Mobility Enhancement Alternative
PPM	Plans Preparation Manual
ROW	Right-of-Way
RRR	Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation